



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,825	03/22/2001		Keith D. Allen	R-849	6413
26619	7590	04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
DELTAGE	•		SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
740 BAY ROAD REDWOOK CITY, CA 94063				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
122 001.	. 0, 0		·	1636	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/815,825	ALLEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit -				
		Daniel M Sullivan	1636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 D</u>	ecember 2003 and 16 January 2	<u>2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-5,8-12,17-23,27-33,35,42,45 and 4 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 4,5,8-12,17-23,27-33,35,42,45 and 4 Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. 7 is/are allowed. or election requirement.	n.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
10/1	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee. The attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat In rity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This Non-Final Office Action is a reply to the Papers filed 17 December 2003 and 16

January 2004 in response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed 26 August 2003. Claims 1-5, 812, 17-23, 27-33, 35, 42, 45 and 47 were considered in the 26 August Office Action. Claims 1-3,
5, 8, 10-12, 23, 32, 33, 35 and 42 were amended in the 16 January Paper. Claims 1-5, 8-12, 1723, 27-33, 35, 42, 45 and 47 are pending and under consideration.

Response to Amendment

Claim Objections

Objection to claim 1 as containing informalities is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

Rejection of claims 10, 11, 12, 23 32 and 35 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for the full scope of the claimed subject matter is withdrawn in view of the amendment such that they are now limited to the subject matter enabled by the disclosure.

Rejection of claims 5, 8-12, 17-23, 27-33, 35, 42, 45 and 47 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of the limitation of the disruption of the claims to the absence of functional cGMP phosphodiesterase alpha subunit.

Rejection of claims 1-3 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is withdrawn.

New Grounds

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 12 be found allowable, claims 27 and 32 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

In the instant case, each of the claims is directed to a method limited to comprising the steps of administering an agent to the transgenic mouse of claim 8 and determining whether an eye abnormality of the transgenic mouse is ameliorated. There is no discernable difference in the scope of the claimed methods.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,825

Art Unit: 1636

First, the claims omit essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: Selecting a cell which has undergone homologous recombination following the step of introducing the targeting construct and prior to the step of introducing the cell into a blastocyst.

The claims are further indefinite in reciting in step (c), "said pseudopregnant mouse gives birth". Once the blastocyst has been implanted in the pseudopregnant mouse the mouse is no longer pseudopregnant. The mouse is actually pregnant. Amending step (c) to read "...wherein said mouse gives birth..." would be remedial.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Qin *et al.* (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267:8458-8463.

Although it was previously indicated that the claims were free of the art, upon further consideration it is apparent that the targeting construct of claim 1 and the method of producing a targeting construct of claim 3 read on any nucleic acid comprising a nucleic acid encoding a cGMP phosphodiesterase and selectable marker because the selectable marker can be anywhere in the construct and the first and second sequences homologous to the cGMP phosphodiesterase alpha subunit gene are not limited to being non-contiguous. Thus, the nucleic acid construct

comprising a mouse cGMP phosphodiesterase and selectable marker gene, and method of making said nucleic acid construct, taught by Qin *et al.* anticipates the claims (see especially the second full paragraph on page 8459 and Figure 1 and the caption thereto.

Amending the claim to indicate that the first and second polynucleotide sequences homologous to the target gene are interrupted by the selectable marker gene and to indicate that the first and second sequences are inserted such that they flank the marker gene would overcome this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4, 5, 8-12, 17-23, 27-33, 35, 42, 45 and 47 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 571-272-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMS

AMME-MARIE FALK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anne-Marie Falk